

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

J.M. Nagda et al.

Serial No.: 09/388,261

Filed: August 31, 1999

For: A WORKFLOW MANAGEMENT
SYSTEM FOR GENERATING
OUTPUT MATERIAL BASED ON
CUSTOMER INPUT

Examiner: M. Ali

Art Unit: 2177



24033

PATENT TRADEMARK OFFICE

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

Transmitted herewith is an Amendment in the above-identified application.

☒ Amendment, 11 pages
☒ Return PostCard

The fee has been calculated as shown below:

	(Col. 1) CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT	(Col. 2) HIGHEST NO PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	(Col. 3) PRESENT EXTRA RATE	SMALL ENTITY ADDIT. FEE	OR	RATE	OTHER THAN A SMALL ENTITY ADDIT. FEE
TOTAL	32	MINUS 32	= 0	x \$0	OR	x 18 \$	
INDEP CLAIMS	3	MINUS 3	= 0	x \$0	OR	x 84 \$	
_____ FIRST PRESENTATION OF MULTIPLE DEP. CLAIM				+	\$	OR + 280 \$	
			TOTAL	\$0	OR	TOTAL \$ -0-	

— Please charge Deposit Account No. 50-0563 the amount of \$_____ to cover the extension fee and also the amount of \$_____ to cover the claim fee. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

— A check in the amount of \$_____ to cover the extension fee is enclosed.

— A check in the amount of \$_____ to cover the filing fee is enclosed.

— A check in the amount of \$_____ to cover the petition fee is enclosed.

☒ The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge payment of the following fees associated with this communication or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 50-0563. A duplicate of this sheet is enclosed.

☒ Any filing fees under 37 CFR 1.16 for the presentation of extra claims.

☒ Any patent application processing fees under 37 CFR 1.17.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: June 18, 2002

David W. Victor
Registration No. 39,867
KONRAD RAYNES VICTOR & MANN, LLP
315 S. Beverly Drive, Suite 210
Beverly Hills, CA 90212
(310) 556-7983 (voice)
(310) 556-7984 (fax)

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Assistant Commissioner of Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231 on June 18, 2002.

David W. Victor

06/18/02
Date

S.N. 09/388,261 Docket No. BO999027 Date Mailed 6/18/02 By: DWV/pm

Title: A WORKFLOW MANAGEMENT SYSTEM FOR GENERATING OUTPUT
MATERIAL BASED ON CUSTOMER INPUT

Client: International Business Machines Corporation

0036.0042

Receipt is hereby acknowledged for the following received in the Patent & Trademark
Office on the date stamped hereon:

☒ Transmittal for Amendment

☒ Amendment pages 11

☒ ~~Amendment pages 11~~

☒ Return Postcard

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:	J.M. Nagda et al.	Examiner:	Ali, M.
Serial No.:	09/388,261	Group Art Unit:	2177
Filed:	August 31, 1999	Docket No.:	BO999027
TITLE:	A WORKFLOW MANAGEMENT SYSTEM FOR GENERATING OUTPUT MATERIAL BASED ON CUSTOMER INPUT		

#10

CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 CFR 1.8:

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Assistant Commissioner of Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231 on June 18, 2002.

David W. Victor

RESPONSE TO FINAL OFFICE ACTION

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

Dear Sir:

This paper is submitted in response to the Final Office Action dated March 18, 2002, in which the Examiner rejected all of the claims as obvious (35 U.S.C. §103) in view of cited art. On June 17, 2002, the Examiner and Applicants held a phone interview to discuss the prior art rejections. Applicants explained why the cited prior art does not teach or suggest the specific claim requirements. No agreement was reached. However, the Examiner said he would consider Applicant's arguments submitted in this paper. The Examiner discussed the possibility of a further interview with the Examiner and the Primary Examiner to discuss patentability issues if needed.